

Image Super-Resolution Using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)

¹P. Sai Rama Krishna, ²P. Shiva Sai Balaji

^{1,2}Department of AI&ML

^{1,2}RK College of Engineering, Vijayawada, India

Abstract: Image super-resolution (SR) is a critical task in computer vision that aims to reconstruct high-resolution (HR) images from low-resolution (LR) counterparts. Traditional methods, such as interpolation-based techniques, often fail to recover fine details and suffer from artifacts. This paper presents a deep learning-based approach using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) with auto-encoder layers to enhance image resolution. The proposed model is trained on pairs of LR and HR images, learning to map LR features to HR outputs by replacing low-intensity pixels with high-intensity ones. Experimental results demonstrate significant improvements in image quality, measured using Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR), Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR), and Mean Squared Error (MSE). The model outperforms traditional methods in both visual fidelity and computational efficiency.

Keywords: Super-Resolution, CNN, Auto-encoder, Deep Learning, Image Enhancement.

I. INTRODUCTION

Image super-resolution is an ill-posed inverse problem where multiple HR images can correspond to a single LR input. Traditional methods rely on interpolation or example-based techniques, which are limited by their inability to generalize across diverse image types. Recent advancements in deep learning have revolutionized SISR through data-driven approaches that learn the complex mapping between LR and HR images. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have demonstrated remarkable success in this domain, with architectures evolving from shallow networks like SRCNN to deep residual networks like EDSR. However, existing methods face challenges in balancing reconstruction quality with computational complexity, often requiring extensive parameters or specialized hardware.

I.1 Problem Statement

The primary challenge in SR is recovering lost high-frequency details in LR images. Existing methods often produce blurry or artifact-laden outputs. Our goal is to develop a robust CNN model that efficiently upscales LR images while preserving textures and edges.

I.2 Contributions

1. A lightweight CNN architecture with auto-encoder layers for efficient SR.
2. Integration of residual learning to improve gradient flow and feature reuse.
3. Empirical validation using PSNR, SNR, and MSE metrics.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

2.1. RELATED WORK

2.1.1 Traditional Super-Resolution Methods

Early approaches to SISR can be categorized into three main classes:

1. **Interpolation-based methods** including nearest neighbour, bilinear, and bicubic interpolation. These methods are computationally efficient but fail to recover high-frequency details.
2. **Reconstruction-based methods** that use prior knowledge about image statistics. These include maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimation and iterative back-projection.
3. **Example-based methods** that learn mappings from external datasets. These include neighbour embedding and sparse coding approaches.

2.1.2. Deep Learning Approaches

The advent of deep learning brought significant advancements to SISR:

1. **Pioneering CNN architectures:** SRCNN was the first CNN-based approach for SISR, demonstrating that a three-layer CNN could outperform traditional methods.
2. **Residual learning:** VDSR introduced deep residual networks for improved performance.
3. **Recursive networks:** DRCN and DRRN employed recursive blocks to increase depth without adding parameters.
4. **Advanced architectures:** EDSR and RDN introduced enhanced residual blocks and dense connections, achieving state-of-the-art results.

| Approach | Strengths | Weaknesses |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Interpolation (Bicubic, Lanczos) | Simple, fast | Produces blurry images |
| Example-based methods | Learns LR-HR mappings | Computationally expensive |
| SRCNN (Dong et al.) | CNN-based, effective | Limited depth, lacks residual learning |

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Dataset

We use a custom dataset of LR-HR image pairs, pre-processed and normalized to [0,1]. The dataset is split into 90% training and 10% testing.

3.2. Model Architecture

The proposed CNN auto-encoder consists of:

1. **Encoder:** Four convolutional layers with ReLU activation, progressively extracting features.
2. **Residual Connections:** Concatenation of intermediate layers to preserve spatial details.
3. **Decoder:** A final convolutional layer reconstructs the HR image.

3.3 Problem Formulation

Given a low-resolution image $\mathbf{I}_{LR} \in \mathbb{R}^{(W \times H \times 3)}$, we aim to learn a mapping \mathbf{F} to reconstruct the high-resolution counterpart $\mathbf{I}_{HR} \in \mathbb{R}^{(\alpha W \times \alpha H \times 3)}$, where α is the scaling factor. The mapping is learned by minimizing:

$$L(\theta) = \Sigma \|F(\mathbf{I}_{LR}; \theta) - \mathbf{I}_{HR}\|^2 + \lambda \Phi(\theta)$$

where θ represents the network parameters and $\Phi(\theta)$ is a regularization term.

3.4. Training and Evaluation:

The models are trained on the DIV2K dataset for 50 epochs, with a batch size of 16. We use the Mean Squared Error (MSE) loss function for SRCNN and VDSR, and a combination of perceptual loss and adversarial loss for ESRGAN. The models are optimized using the Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 0.0001.

Performance is evaluated using the PSNR (Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio) and SSIM (Structural Similarity Index) metrics, which measure the quality of the reconstructed images. Additionally, we measure the inference time of each model to assess its suitability for real-time applications.

| Parameter | Value |
|---------------|----------------------------|
| Loss Function | Mean Squared Error (MSE) |
| Optimizer | Adam (learning rate: 1e-4) |
| Batch Size | 8 |

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Qualitative Results



Fig.1. (The above figure shows the LR inputs with SR outputs, showing enhanced edges and textures. Visual inspection confirms the model’s ability to recover fine details).

| Metric | Average Value |
|--------|---------------|
| PSNR | 28.5 dB |
| SNR | 22.1 dB |
| MSE | 0.0014 |

4.2 Datasets and Implementation Details

We evaluate our method on four standard benchmarks: Set5, Set14, BSD100, and Urban100. Training is performed on the DIV2K dataset with 800 training images. The Adam optimizer is used with an initial learning rate of 10^{-4} , reduced by half every 200 epochs.

4.3. Computational Efficiency

Training completes in ~4 hours on a single GPU, with inference taking <1 second per image (640x480 resolution).

V. SOFTWARE VALIDATION AND TESTING

| Validation | Method |
|---------------------|--|
| Unit Testing | Validated individual CNN layers and loss functions |
| Integration Testing | Ensured seamless interaction between encoder-decoder modules |
| System Testing | Verified end-to-end performance on diverse datasets |

VI. CONCLUSION

We present a CNN-based auto-encoder for image super-resolution that balances complexity and performance. The model’s residual connections and compact architecture enable efficient HR reconstruction. Future work includes exploring attention mechanisms and larger datasets.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aharon, M., et al. (2006). K-SVD: An algorithm for designing overcomplete dictionaries.
- [2] Bevilacqua, M., et al. (2012). Low-complexity single-image super-resolution.
- [3] Dong, C., et al. (2014). Learning a deep convolutional network for image SR.
- [4] Ledig, C., et al. (2017). Photo-realistic single image super-resolution using a generative

adversarial network.

- [5] Zhang, Y., et al. (2018). Residual dense network for image super-resolution.
- [6] Wang, X., et al. (2021). Real-ESRGAN: Training real-world blind super-resolution with pure synthetic data.
- [7] Kim, J., et al. (2016). Deeply recursive convolutional network for image super-resolution.
- [8] Lim, B., et al. (2017). Enhanced deep residual networks for single image super-resolution.
- [9] Tai, Y., et al. (2017). Image super-resolution via deep recursive residual network.
- [10] Shi, W., et al. (2016). Real-time single image and video super-resolution using an efficient sub-pixel convolutional neural network.